

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,459	YOSHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vivian Chen	1773

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Vivian Chen.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Barth, Atty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-49

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Barth requested an Supplemental Examiner's Amendment to correct the following obvious typographical errors in the previous Examiner's Amendment (i.e., in claims 34, 37, 46, "consists" should read "consists essentially of" -- consistent with the language used in claim 1 -- in regard to the composition of layer R1, as agreed upon in the earlier interview on 7/12/2005).